

1. 8TH DAY

**Question 1.** Recall the identification  $\mathcal{O}(B\mathfrak{g}) = (C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}), d_{CE})$ . Show that the DG Lie algebra of derivations of  $\mathcal{O}(B\mathfrak{g})$  can be identified with the module  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[1])$  where the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential is from the shifted adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ , realizing the space of vector fields as  $\text{Vect}(B\mathfrak{g}) = C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}[1])$ . One also has  $\Omega^1(B\mathfrak{g}) = C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*[-1])$ .

**Question 2.** Consider a graded vector space  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{C}^n[-1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[-2]$ . We learned from 1st day exercises that equipping it with an  $L_\infty$ -algebra structure is the same as giving a differential on  $\text{Sym}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*[-1])$ ; the resulting commutative differential graded algebra is the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $\mathfrak{g}$  the resulting  $L_\infty$ -algebra. Show that for  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  as above, such a structure is equivalent to a choice of function  $f: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $f(0) = 0$ , and that  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  is a resolution of the vanishing locus of  $f$ . [Hints: for  $n = 1$ :

- $l_k: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}[2 - k]$  corresponds to  $d_k: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*[-1] \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*[-1])[1]$ .
- As we write  $C^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathbb{C}[[x]][[\xi]]$  with  $|x| = 0$  and  $|\xi| = -1$ ,  $d_k\xi$  is a multiple of  $x^k$ , say  $d_k\xi = a_k x^k$ .
- Indeed, one has  $d\xi = f'(0)x + \frac{f''(0)}{2!}x^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!}x^k + \dots$ .

In general, the vanishing locus of a section of a trivial vector bundle of rank  $k$  over an  $n$ -dimensional affine space is modeled by an  $L_\infty$  structure on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{C}^n[-1] \oplus \mathbb{C}^k[-2]$ .

**Question 3.** As above, consider a graded vector space  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus V[-1]$ . Given a Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{h}$  and an  $\mathfrak{h}$  module structure on  $V$ , define an  $L_\infty$  structure on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  such that  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  is a resolution of  $\mathcal{O}(V/\mathfrak{g})$ , the  $\mathfrak{g}$  invariant functions on  $V$ . [Hint: The Chevalley–Eilenberg complex  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}; M)$  with coefficients in a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $M$  computes the derived functor of taking Lie algebra invariants  $M \mapsto M^\mathfrak{g}$ .]

**Question 4.** Consider the Chern–Simons action functional

$$S_{CS}(\alpha) = \int \frac{1}{2} \langle \alpha, d\alpha \rangle + \frac{1}{6} \langle \alpha, [\alpha, \alpha] \rangle$$

viewed as a function on  $(\Omega_M^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}) / (\Omega_M^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ . Combining the previous two exercises, verify that functions on the critical locus of  $S_{CS}$  are resolved by  $C^\bullet(\mathcal{L})$  for  $\mathcal{L}$  the DG Lie algebra  $\Omega_M^\bullet \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ .

In general, given an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  equipped with a pairing  $\langle -, - \rangle: \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[-3]$ , one can produce an action functional  $S(\alpha) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \langle \alpha, l_k(\alpha) \rangle$ , such that  $C^\bullet(\mathcal{L})$  resolves the critical locus of  $S$ .

**Question 5.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a vector space concentrated in cohomological degree 0. Show that there is an equivalence between Lie algebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and Poisson structures on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  with bivector of homogeneous polynomial degree 1.

Further, show that  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  equipped with this Poisson bracket is the associated graded Poisson algebra of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  with respect to the PBW filtration (the filtration by polynomial degree under the identification as vector spaces  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ ).

**Question 6.** Consider  $\mathcal{E} = V \oplus V^*[-1]$  so that  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{C}[x_i, \xi_i]$  and write  $\mathcal{E} = B\mathfrak{g}$ . Describe the map  $\mathcal{O}(B\mathfrak{g})[-1] \rightarrow \text{Vect}(B\mathfrak{g})$  given by  $f \mapsto \{f, -\}$  in coordinates. Find a map  $\mathcal{O}(B\mathfrak{g})[-1] \rightarrow \Omega^1(B\mathfrak{g})[-1]$  analogous to the de Rham differential, and describe it again in coordinates. Check that the formula for  $\{f, -\}$  given above agrees with the expected answer from classical symplectic geometry, but using the  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure.

**Question 7.** Consider  $n$  chiral free fermions on  $\Sigma$  where fields are  $\Psi = (\Psi_i) \in \mathcal{E} = \Omega^{1/2, \bullet}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^n)$  and the action is  $S = \frac{1}{2} \int \Psi \bar{\partial} \Psi = \frac{1}{2} \int \delta_{ij} \Psi_i \bar{\partial} \Psi_j$ . We write  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{E}[-1]$  with  $d = \bar{\partial}$  and  $l_k = 0$  for  $k \geq 2$ . Consider the natural action of  $\mathcal{L} = \Omega^{0, \bullet}(\Sigma, \mathfrak{so}(n))$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Show that the corresponding action functional is  $I^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha, \Psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int \Psi \alpha \Psi$ .

**Question 8.** In this exercise, you are asked to make a definition of  $\beta$ -functional as an obstruction to lifting the scaling symmetry. The point of the questions is to remind you of the important steps in the BV formalism; in particular, this is not asking you to do any actual computation.

Suppose we are given a classical field theory  $(\mathcal{E}, Q, \omega, I)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Recall the scaling symmetry of  $G = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  is given by an action of  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We assume that the given classical theory is scale-invariant.

- Show that  $\mathcal{E}$  together with an action of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}$  is a Maurer–Cartan element  $I^{\text{tot}} = I + \epsilon I^{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{E})[-1] \oplus \epsilon \mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{E})[-1], Q, \{-, -\})$  with  $|\epsilon| = 1$  or a local functional  $I^{\mathbb{R}}$  of degree  $-1$  such that  $I^{\mathbb{R}} \in H^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{E}), Q + \{I, -\})$ .
- Fixing a renormalization scheme, there exists a pre-quantum field theory  $\{\tilde{I}[L]\}$  such that  $\lim_{L \rightarrow 0} (\tilde{I}[L] \text{ mod } \hbar) = I + \epsilon I^{\mathbb{R}}$ . The anomaly for quantizing the classical scale symmetry is the obstruction of  $\tilde{I}[L]$  satisfying the scale  $L$  QME. Define the one-loop anomaly  $\Theta^{(1)}[L]$  to be the obstruction modulo  $\hbar^2$ . Show that  $\Theta^{(1)}[L]$  is closed under the BV differential.
- Assume that there is no obstruction to quantizing  $\{I[L]\}$ . Show that  $\Theta^{(1)} = \lim_{L \rightarrow 0} \Theta^{(1)}[L]$  determines an element  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{E}), Q + \{I, -\})$ . This is another definition of  $\beta$ -functional.